



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,631	03/16/2004	Melissa Schneider	35041/400400	2630
27717	7590	05/20/2008	EXAMINER	
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP			GOODCHILD, WILLIAM J	
131 S. DEARBORN ST., SUITE 2400				
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,631	SCHNEIDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	WILLIAM J. GOODCHILD	2145

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-28.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
 13. Other: _____.

/Jason D Cardone/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145

William J Goodchild
 Examiner
 Art Unit: 2145

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A - Applicant argues "At no point do the cited sections discuss any sort of web site database containing a list of web sites likely to send bad traffic.".

A - Feldman discloses logging actions of possible problem sites or potential users who repeatably access a web site [paragraphs 34 and 51, supported by paragraphs 43-51]. This can be defined as 'bad' traffic.

B - Applicant argues "At no point do the cited sections describe any form of hypertext links on a first web site to a second web site.".

B - Feldman discloses a human user may be expected to access a web site [paragraph 43], through a client / server system [paragraph 39]. The types of web sites accessed and from where are defined in Feldman showing advertising on web-sites as a means of revenue generation [paragraphs 8], this shows that there must be a hyperlink on a page with an advertisement to a second web page.

C - Applicant argues "Instead, claim 23 recites determining if the advertiser website is relevant to the keyword search.".

C - Feldman discloses a search engine uses keywords and determines if web sites are relevant, advertising web sites would be included in the search results [paragraphs 3, 5-6].

D - Applicant argues "The two systems are completely independent and do not operate together".

D - Feldman refers to Robots and Rogues, Rogues is defined in paragraph 1 as 'including humans acting akin to web robots', search engines being a possible online resource used. Dunham discloses the output of the search engine which Feldman does not go into, so the combination of Feldman and Dunham is valid.

E - Applicant argues "The system in Kirsch is directed to dealing with email SPAM, which is completely unrelated to the concept described and claimed in the present application."

E - Feldman and Kirsch are analogous in that both refer to unsolicited and or fraudulent Internet use. As such the concept of randomly causing the validation request would be valid in both an email system as well as a web page and would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.